## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

FILED
JUN 5 - 1990

Marie L. Marie L. Come

		*	*		18	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
UNITED	STATES (	OF AMERIC	CA :		// same	110
			\$			
	VS.		*	CRIM.	NO. 90-20003-01	
~~~~~	CVIVECTED	ACKEDEUM	*		(Judge Veron)	
GEURGE	SYLVESTER	ACKERSON				
The second secon	(Defend	ant)		4		

	STATE	MENT C	F REAS	ONS FO	R IMPOS	ING SEN	TENCE		
1.	The Court a report atta	dopts	the f	acts a and ma	s set f rked as	orth in	the p	resente	nce
2.	Finding of		overted	l guide	line-re	levant	facts:		116
	A	none						. 1	0/
		AS FO	OLLOWS:	:		•			Se
									21.
3.	Guideline A The Court f the applica the applica	inds	that the	ne appl L histo	ry cate	offense	level	is <u>13</u> _, and	warengesteller referense
	12	to .	18	ogravessossentation	months	incarc	eratio	n	
	24	to	36	manufacturation of	months	superv	ised r	elease	*
	<b>\$</b> 3,000	to	\$ 30,000		fine				-
	\$	Re	estitu	tion				*	
	\$ 50.00	S	pecial	Assess	ment				

The reasons for these guideline determinations are set forth in paragraph(s) 1 thru 44 of the presentence report, which the Court finds reasonably address, in totality, the criminal conduct in question.



DE	PARTURES:
	X NONE/REXERVED TO NONE
	*
SE	NTENCE IMPOSED:
Α.	X Single count conviction:
	months probation
	12 months imprisonment
	36 months supervised release special conditions as follows:
	Neighbourhaco-systematichies
В.	Multiple count convictions:
	Count # :
	demokratististististististististististististist
	months probation months imprisonment
	months supervised release special conditions as follows:
	The second secon
	Downto &
	Count #t
	months probation
	months imprisonment months supervised release
	special conditions as follows:
c.	TOTAL SENTENCE:

	Restitution is in the amount of \$
	to be made to
	Restitution Not Applicable
	Partial Restitution in the amount of \$ is imposed in this case because information about the defendant's financial resources and family resources contained in the presentence report indicate that imposing restitution in the full amount would place an unwarranted burden on the defendant's family.
	FINE in the amount of \$ is imposed on the defendant.
X	The Court is imposing NO FINE in this case because the defendant's lack of assets make it unlikely that he will be able to pay a fine.
<u> </u>	SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF \$ 50.00 is imposed on the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3013, payable immediately
Management of contract Association (Association)	COST OF IMPRISONMENT: \$ per month for each month incarcerated and \$ each month of supervised release.
age grown backs and age of the according to the	Special Assessment on additional counts:
June 5,	1990 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Note: If sentenced on more than 2 counts, see addendum.